Sri V. N. PATIL.—The wiring had been done three years ago. Is there any litigation pending in this connection? Was it not the duty of the Government to find out whether there was any stay order, and if so, what steps were taken by the Government to vacate the stay order?

Sri BASAVARAJ MAGAVI.—Despite the efforts made to find out whether there was a litigation pending in the Court of Law, it was reported by the officers of the Health Department that there was no such litigation pending.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—If I furnish the Case number and the name of the Court where the suit is pending, may I know what steps the Government proposes to take against the officer responsible for this?

Sri BASAVARAJ MAGAVI.—If the hon. Member brings it to the notice of the Government, we are prepared to pull up the officer concerned and take necessary action.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now held-over Question No. 289.

Refusal to admit injured people in Humnabad Civil Dispensary

289. Sri V. N. PATIL (Humnabad).—

Will the Minister for Health be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the Government has received any report of the incident dated 16th November 1968 at Ghotboral in Humnabad Taluk, Bidar District, wherein 4 persons were axed;

(*b*) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that those 4 persons (Maruthi of Ghotboral, Genoba of Chandanahalli, Shivaram of Chandanahalli and Madhava Rao of Chandanahalli) were not admitted by the doctor at Humnabad Civil Hospital in spite of the fact that were having deep injuries and profuse bleeding;

(*c*) whether any representation was received by the Government to institute an enquiry in the above matter;

(*d*) if so, the action taken in this behalf (what is the latest position)?

Sri Y. RAMAKRISHNA (Minister for Health).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes; the Medical Officer, Civil Dispensary, Humnabad has reported that 3 persons were given necessary treatment as out-patients as their injuries did not require hospitalisation as in-patients and that the fourth person was advised to get himself admitted as in-patient but he refused to avail of the same.

(*c*) No,

(*d*) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (*c*) above.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—I have brought to the notice of the Hon. Minister for Health more than 3 times on the floor of this House that a Doctor at Humnabad did not admit patients with serious injuries, and the patients had to be admitted in the Civil Hospital, Bidar. May I know the steps taken by Government in this behalf ?

† Sri Y. RAMAKRISHNA.—Sir, the matter was enquired into. The nature of injuries were such that they did not require any hospitalisation. The fact was endorsed by the District Doctor also. As such there is no truth in what the hon. Member says.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—Five stitches were put to the injury, but the Hon. Minister is treating the matter in an ordinary manner. I charge that the Doctor refused admission deliberately at the instance of political people there !

Sri Y. RAMAKRISHNA.—Sir, it is not true.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—I may be permitted to ask one more supplementary.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is sufficiently answered. Let us go to the next Question.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—The member putting the question should be allowed at least three supplementaries, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I know the rules. Now Short Notice Question Nos. 14 and 15 may be taken up together.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANWSERS

Foreign tours by the Ministers

14. Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihar).—

Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether any Ministers of the State propose to go on foreign tours ;

(*b*) if so, who are they ;

(*c*) the purpose of their visit ;

(*d*) whether any invitation was received from the countries they propose visiting;

(*e*) what is the proposed estimated cost of their tour (both in the Rupee currency and in foreign exchange) ;

(*f*) whether any portion of the expenditure on these tours is met out of the consolidated fund of the State ?